Antiulcer Properties of Kelulut Honey against Ethanol-Induced Gastric Ulcer
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ABSTRACT

Ulcers in the gastrointestinal tract refer to any appreciable depth of break in the mucosa lining that may involve submucosa. Common types of ulcer include peptic, gastric and duodenal ulcer, which may lead to chronic inflammation. Ulcers may be caused by excessive alcohol intake or prolonged use of non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAID), in addition to several other factors. Conventional medication such as Omeprazole (proton pump inhibitor) and Ranitidine (H2 blockers) for management of ulcers may cause severe side effects such as myelosuppression and abnormal heart rhythm. This has driven researchers to explore the potential of natural products for management of ulcers with reduced side effects. Kelulut honey (KH) is a type of honey that is produced by stingless bees from the \textit{Trigona} species. It is believed to have a lot of medicinal properties such as being antimicrobial, antioxidant and antidiabetic. Yet, no scientific study has been carried out on its antiulcer properties. This study was carried out to determine the antiulcer properties of KH. Eighteen male \textit{Sprague dawley} rats (5 to 6 weeks old, weighing between 200 and 300 g) were divided into three groups (n=6). The groups were 1) normal control group (without ulcer, without KH), 2) positive control group (with ulcer, without KH) and 3) treatment group (with...
ulcer, treated with KH). The treatment, KH (1183 mg/kg), was given twice daily for 30 consecutive days by oral administration. On Day 31, the rats were induced with absolute ethanol (5 mL/kg) via oral administration after being fasted for 24 h and were sacrificed 15 min after the induction. The stomach was collected for macroscopic and histopathological evaluation. Pretreatment with KH significantly reduced (p<0.05) both the total area of ulcer and the ulcer index compared to the positive control group. The percentage of ulcer inhibition in the KH pre-treated group was 65.56% compared with the positive control group. The treatment, KH, exhibited antiulcer properties against ethanol-induced gastric ulcer.
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INTRODUCTION

The term ‘ulcer’ was first introduced in 1882 by Quike (Clinch, 1989). According to the ‘Global Burden of Disease, 2004’, 270,000 cases worldwide were reported on peptic ulcer (Mathers et al., 2008) and 360 cases at a ratio of 1:1 of duodenal ulcer to gastric ulcer reported in Malaysia by the Profile of Peptic Ulcer Disease (Kudva, 1988). Peptic ulcer is the most common ulcer presenting as a benign lesion that occurs mainly due to excessive acid and pepsin bathing the surface of the mucosa (Pillai et al., 2010). It can develop in different parts of the gastrointestinal tract such as the stomach as gastric ulcers and the duodenum as duodenal ulcers. Primarily, there are two major etiologies of peptic ulcer, infection by *Helicobacter pylori* and excessive intake of non-steroidal anti-inflammation agents (NSAIDs). Other contributory factors are smoking and nutritional deficiencies (Rang et al., 2014; Naesdal & Brown, 2006). Ulcers are usually detected upon symptoms such as burning epigastric pain that may occur before or after meals, bloating, fullness and nausea. In severe condition, this may lead to complications such as perforation and bleeding (Malfertheiner et al., 2009).

There are various classes of medication available for ulcer management including the Histamine-2 receptor antagonist such as cimetidine and ranitidine, proton-pump inhibitors such as omeprazole and esomeprazole and prostaglandin E analogues such as misoprostol (Chan & Lau, 2010). However, all these drugs may lead to several side effects such as nausea (Dharmani, 2006), headache, abnormal heart rhythm and myelosupression (Sandhya et al., 2013). Thus, it is important to continue searching for safer and more effective antiulcer drugs among natural products.

One of the potential candidates is Kelulut honey (KH), which is produced from bees of the *Trigona* sp. more commonly known as Kelulut bees in Malaysia. A characteristic that differs *Trigona* sp. from common bees of other species is that it is stingless. Honey contains roughly 80% carbohydrates (35% glucose, 40% fructose and 5% sucrose) and 20% water, serving as a great source of energy. Moreover, it also contains more than 180 substances, including amino acids, vitamins, minerals, organic acid phenol compounds and enzymes. The pH of honey is around 4.0 (Ouchemoukh et al., 2007). Traditionally, KH is used in treatments for anti-ageing, fast healing of internal injuries and cough and cold (Barakhbah, 2007). KH was also reported to have various pharmacological effects such as anti-diabetes, antioxidant and antibacterial (Siok
et al., 2014; Zainol et al., 2013). Antioxidation is considered to be associated with anti-ulcer properties (Bashkaran et al., 2011). The phenolic compound that is one of the phytochemicals found in propolis is believed to contribute to the antiulcer activity (Pillai et al., 2010) as it has strong correlation with antioxidant activity (Bertoncelj et al., 2007; Beretta et al., 2005; Meda et al., 2005). This study was carried out to determine the antiulcer properties of KH.

METHODOLOGY

Chemicals and Reagents
Formalin was purchased from Fisher Scientific, UK. Absolute alcohol was purchased from Sigma Aldrich, USA. Hematoxylin and eosin were purchased from CellPath, UK. Xylene was purchased from Jt Baker, USA. Kelulut honey (KH) was provided by Marbawi Food Processing and Trading, Kuala Kangsar, Perak.

Experiment Animals
Eighteen male Sprague dawley rats with initial weight approximately 200-300 g at the age of 5-6 weeks old were acclimatised with free access to standard chow pellets and water for about one week before the study. For animal husbandry, three rats were been placed in a cage. This study was conducted after obtaining ethical approval (FYP.2015/FPSK.001) from the Institutional Animal Care and Used Committee (IACUC) of Universiti Putra Malaysia.

Experiment Procedure
Following acclimatisation, the rats were divided randomly into three groups (n=6) as detailed below.

Group 1: Negative control group (without ulcer, without KH)
Group 2: Positive control group (with ulcer, without KH)
Group 3: Treatment group (with ulcer, with KH)

The animals were pre-treated with KH twice daily via oral administration at the dosage of 1183.33 mg/kg body weight of rat for 30 days. This dosage was calculated based on human consumption.

The body weight of the rats was recorded every 3 days until the day of termination. On Day 30, the rats were fasted for 24 hours. On the following day, the rats in Groups 2 and 3 were induced with absolute ethanol (5 mL/kg body weight of rat) via oral administration for an hour after the last treatment of KH. Fifteen minutes later, all the rats were anesthetised with ketamine-xylazine (75 mg/kg body weight of rat: 5 mg/kg body weight of rat) by intraperitoneal injection. Approximately 5 mL of blood was collected via cardiac puncture using a 26 G, ½” needle (Terumo®, Belgium, Europe) into a non-heparinised and EDTA-containing tube for biochemical and hematological analyses, respectively. The blood that was collected in the non-heparinised tube was centrifuged at 780 x g for 10 min to separate the serum. For the
histopathological analysis, the stomach was removed, cut open through its greater curvature and placed on graph paper to be captured by camera. Finally, the stomach was fixed in 10% formalin for further analysis.

**Determination of the Ulcer Index and Inhibition**

The ulcer index (UI) was calculated according to Goyal (2002) as follows:

\[
\text{Ulcer index (UI)} = \frac{10}{X}
\]

where, \( X = \frac{\text{Total area of the stomach}}{\text{Area of ulcer on the stomach}} \)

\[
\text{Percentage of ulcer inhibition} = \frac{\text{UI of positive control} - \text{UI of treated group}}{\text{UI of positive control group}} \times 100
\]

**Histopathological Analysis**

Initially, the samples of stomach tissue were grossed using a grossing blade (FEATHER Microtome Blade High Profile) by cutting the area of the ulcer from the opened stomach to get all the four layers. The grossed samples were placed in a cassette and processed using an automated tissue processor (Leica TP 1020, Germany) for 14 h during which they were dehydrated, cleared and infiltrated with paraffin wax.

Next, the processed tissue was embedded in appropriate orientation onto a block with molten paraffin wax using an embedder machine (Leica EG 1160, Germany). The block containing the tissue was then trimmed to thickness of 10 μm and sectioned to thickness of 0.4 μm using a microtome machine (Leica RM2135, Germany). The thin section of the tissue was placed in a water bath at 42°C. Next, the sample was fished onto slides and dewaxed before being stained with hematoxylin and eosin using an automated staining machine (Tissue-Tek® Prisma™, Japan) for 1 h and 18 min. The stained slides were mounted with P-xylene-bis-pyridinium bromide (DPX) and left to dry before being observed under a light microscope (Leica, Germany).

**Statistical Analysis**

All the data were analysed using Graph Pad Prism version 5.0. Analysis of the ulcer area and percentage of inhibition between the treated and untreated group was performed using the unpaired t-test. The differences in body weight were analysed by One Way ANOVA. The values are considered significant at \( p<0.05 \).
RESULTS

Effect of Kelulut Honey on the Body Weight of the Rats

Figure 1 shows the body weight of the rats in the negative control, positive control and KH-treated groups throughout the experiment. The body weight of the rats in the three groups increased from Day 0 to Day 30. There was no significant difference (p>0.05) in the body weight of the rats among the groups throughout the experiment.

Figure 1. Effect of pretreatment with Kelulut honey for 30 days on the body weight of the rats. The data were analysed using One Way ANOVA and the values were expressed as Mean ± S.E.M. The value of p<0.05 was considered significant.

Hematology Profile of Ethanol-Induced Gastric Ulcer in Rats

As shown in Figure 2, hematological analysis on the red blood cell (RBC), white blood cell (WBC), hemoglobin (Hb), thrombocytes, packed cell volume (PCV), mean corpuscular volume (MCV) and mean corpuscular hemoglobin concentration (MGHC) exhibited no significant difference between the positive control, negative control and pretreated group (p>0.05).
Effect of Pretreatment with Kelulut Honey on the Level of Liver Enzymes and Kidney Functions in the Ethanol-Induced Gastric Ulcer Rats

Figure 3 shows the level of urea, creatinine, aspartate transaminase (AST) alanine transaminase (ALT) and alkaline phosphatase (ALP) in the ethanol-induced gastric ulcer rats that were untreated (positive control group) and pretreated with KH for 30 days. The negative control group (without ulcer, without pretreatment) and positive control group (with ulcer, without pretreatment) were also included. The data were analysed using One Way ANOVA and the values were expressed as Mean ± S.E.M. The value of p<0.05 was considered significant.

Effect of Pretreatment with Kelulut Honey on the Level of Liver Enzymes and Kidney Functions in the Ethanol-Induced Gastric Ulcer Rats

Figure 3 shows the level of urea, creatinine, aspartate transaminase (AST) alanine transaminase (ALT) and alkaline phosphatase (ALP) in the ethanol-induced gastric ulcer rats that were untreated (positive control group) and pretreated with KH for 30 days. The negative control group (without ulcer, without pretreatment) and positive control group (with ulcer, without pretreatment) were also included. The data were analysed using One Way ANOVA and the values were expressed as Mean ± S.E.M. The value of p<0.05 was considered significant.

Figure 2. Effect of pretreatment with Kelulut honey for 30 days on the level of (A) RBC (x10^{12}/L), (B) WBC (x10^9/L), (C) Hb (g/L), (D) thrombocytes (x10^9/L), (E) PCV (L/L), (F) MCV (f/L), (G) MCHC (g/L) in the ethanol-induced gastric ulcer rats. The negative control group (without ulcer, without pretreatment) and positive control group (with ulcer, without pretreatment) were also included. The data were analysed using One Way ANOVA and the values were expressed as Mean ± S.E.M. The value of p<0.05 was considered significant.
Effect of Pretreatment with Kelulut Honey on the Ulcer Area and Ulcer Index in the Ethanol-Induced Gastric Ulcer Rats

Figure 4 and Table 1 show the means of the ulcer area, ulcer index and percentage of ulcer inhibition in the stomach of the ethanol-induced gastric ulcer rats that were untreated (positive control group) and pretreated with KH for 30 days. There was a significant reduction (p<0.05) in the mean ulcer area at a value of 89.00 ± 38.87 and in the ulcer index at a value of 1.346 ± 0.5293 in the group pretreated with KH compared to the positive control group. The percentage of ulcer inhibition in the KH-treated group was 68.56%.

Effect of Pretreatment with Kelulut Honey on the Ulcer Area and Ulcer Index in the Ethanol-Induced Gastric Ulcer Rats

Figure 4 and Table 1 show the means of the ulcer area, ulcer index and percentage of ulcer inhibition in the stomach of the ethanol-induced gastric ulcer rats that were untreated (positive control group) and pretreated with KH for 30 days. There was a significant reduction (p<0.05) in the mean ulcer area at a value of 89.00 ± 38.87 and in the ulcer index at a value of 1.346 ± 0.5293 in the group pretreated with KH compared to the positive control group. The percentage of ulcer inhibition in the KH-treated group was 68.56%.

Figure 3. Effect of pretreatment with Kelulut honey for 30 days on the level of (A) urea (mmol/L), (B) creatinine (µmol/L), (C) AST (µ/L), (D) ALT (µ/L) and (E) ALP (µ/L) in the ethanol-induced gastric ulcer rats. The negative control group (without ulcer, without pretreatment) and positive control group (with ulcer, without pretreatment) were also included. The data were analysed using One Way ANOVA and the values were expressed as Mean ± S.E.M. The value of p<0.05 was considered significant.

Figure 4. Effect of pretreatment with Kelulut honey for 30 days on the (A) ulcer area and (B) ulcer index in the ethanol-induced gastric ulcer rats. The positive control group (with ulcer, without pretreatment) was also included. The data were analysed using the unpaired t-test and the values were expressed as Mean ± S.E.M. The value of p<0.05 was considered significant.
Effect of Pretreatment with Kelulut Honey on the Gross Pathology of the Stomach of the Ethanol-Induced Gastric Ulcer Rats

Figure 5 shows the macroscopic images of the stomach from the negative control, positive control and KH-treated groups opened along the greater curvature. Diffuse severe hemorrhage and multifocal area of hemorrhage were noted on the surface area of the stomach from the positive control and KH-treated groups, respectively.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Positive Control Group (With Ulcer, Without KH Pretreatment)</th>
<th>Treatment Group (With Ulcer, with KH Pretreatment)</th>
<th>Percentage of Ulcer Inhibition (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total Ulcer Area</td>
<td>272.4 ± 27.39</td>
<td>89.00 ± 38.87**</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ulcer Index</td>
<td>4.281 ± 0.4157</td>
<td>1.346 ± 0.5293**</td>
<td>68.56</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: All values were expressed as Mean ± S.E.M. The value of \( p<0.05 \) was considered significant.

Effect of Pretreatment with Kelulut Honey on the Histological Analysis of the Stomach of the Ethanol-Induced Gastric Ulcer Rats

Figure 6 and 7 show the microscopic images of the stomach from the negative control, positive control and KH-treated groups after 30 days.

**Figure 5.** Macroscopic images of the stomach from (A) the negative control group with a smooth surface (SS), (B) positive control group with diffuse severe hemorrhage (DH) and (C) KH-treated group with the multifocal area of hemorrhage (MH) opened along the greater curvature.

Effect of Pretreatment with Kelulut Honey on the Histological Analysis of the Stomach of the Ethanol-Induced Gastric Ulcer Rats

Figure 6 and 7 show the microscopic images of the stomach from the negative control, positive control and KH-treated groups after 30 days.
DISCUSSION

This study was done to evaluate the antiulcer properties of Kelulut honey (KH) in a model of ethanol-induced gastric ulcer in rats. Ethanol has been widely used to induce gastric lesions in experiment animals (Abdulla et al., 2010). The ability of absolute ethanol to induce gastric lesions is related to the formation of free radicals and reactive oxygen species (Nordmann, 1994; Cho et al., 1991). Ethanol has also been reported able to increase lipid peroxidation. Free radicals are able to attack cells, causing damage to the gastric cells of the gastrointestinal tract and leading to erosive lesions and ulceration (Hirokawa et al., 1998).

The results of this study demonstrated the potency of KH in increasing the rats’ body weight in all three groups throughout the 30 days of experiment before the rats were induced with ethanol. It was also observed that KH was able to exert antiulcer effects in the ethanol-
induced gastric ulcer model via macroscopic and microscopic evaluation. Diffuse severe hemorrhage was first observed in the positive control group compared to only few areas of mild hemorrhage in the other treatment groups. This was due to the vascular injury caused by the absolute ethanol (Szabo et al., 1995). However, upon treatment with KH, the total ulcer area and ulcer index were reduced compared to the positive control group.

Further histological analysis demonstrated the disruption of the upper mucosal layer, accompanied by severe focal hemorrhage in the negative control group. This was caused by the ability of the ethanol to penetrate the protective mucus layer by dissolving the constituents of the stomach mucus (Szabo, 1987) and subsequently coming into contact with the epithelium surface, causing damage to the cells. It is also reported that severe focal hemorrhage and edema in the lamina propria of the mucosal layer were due to microvascular injury and an increase in vascular permeability as a consequence of microvascular endothelium disruption caused by absolute ethanol (Szabo et al., 1995).

Interestingly, upon pretreatment with KH, no severe hemorrhage or edema was observed in the mucosa. Although vascular congestion was detected, this could have been due to increased blood flow in the gastric mucosa caused by ethanol, leading to hyperemia (Endoh et al., 1993). Nevertheless, hyperemia is not as serious a condition as hemorrhage. The presence of tissue granulation and leukocyte infiltration such as by monocytes and neutrophils in this group could indicate the occurrence of the rapid healing process. The healing process may be divided into three overlapping phases, which are the inflammatory, proliferative and maturation phases (Regan & Barbul, 1994). Leukocyte infiltration such as by circulating monocytes (macrophage) and neutrophils as well as lymphocytes are involved in the inflammatory phase, in which they are crucial for healing (Barbul et al., 1989; Leibovich & Ross, 1975). This is due to the function of the macrophage and neutrophils in removing tissue debris caused by injury. In addition, the presence of fibroblasts in the mucosa cells of the KH pretreated group was an indicator of the proliferative phase of the healing process. Fibroblasts are important in repair processes as they are responsible for the production of structural proteins such as collagen, fibronectin, glycosaminoglycan and hyaluronic acid for the reconstruction of the affected tissue (Regan & Barbul, 1994).

The antiulcer properties of KH suggest that it is high in antioxidant properties. KH, which is from the Trigona sp., is intense in colour and high in phenolic content (Kek et al., 2014). Its colour intensity indicates the presence of carotenoids and flavonoids, which are renowned as natural antioxidants (Moniruzzaman et al., 2013b; Saxena et al., 2010), while total phenolic content is one of the reliable parameters for indicating antioxidative activities (Bertoncelj et al., 2007; Beretta et al., 2005; Meda et al., 2005) as well as effectively healing induced gastric ulcers (Bafna & Balaraman, 2005).

**CONCLUSION**

Kelulut honey was found to be able to increase rats’ body weight as well as to possess antiulcer properties that were seen to reduce the total ulcer area and ulcer index in rats with ethanol-induced gastric.
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